

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. __:
FACT SHEET IMPLEMENTATION ORDER**

This Document Relates to:

All Cases

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
Date: January __, 2024
Time: 10:00 AM
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor (by Zoom)

This Case Management Order (“CMO” or “Order”) governs the form, schedule for completion, and service of personal injury Plaintiff Fact Sheets (“PFS”) and Defendant Fact Sheets (“DFS”) in this MDL. This Order applies to Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively, “Uber”), and all Plaintiffs and their counsel in: (a) all actions transferred to In re: Uber Technologies, Inc. Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation (“MDL-3084”) by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (“JPML”) and (b) to all related actions directly filed in or removed to this MDL in this Court.

1. Online Platform

The Court hereby appoints BrownGreer, PLC (“BrownGreer”) to serve as the online platform for the data management of the PFS and DFS. The parties are directed to utilize BrownGreer’s platform, “MDL Centrality,” to fulfill their PFS and DFS obligations and also, directly or through their designated representatives, to enter into a contract with the company

specifying the services to be provided, the costs of such services, and the parties' payment obligations. BrownGreer shall work with the parties to compile all necessary data. The parties shall serve their respective PFS, DFS, and responsive documents to the requests for production of documents by uploading them to MDL Centrality. Uploading the responsive discovery to MDL Centrality shall constitute effective service.

2. Production of Bona Fide Ride Receipts

As previously ordered, Plaintiffs will either submit bona fide ride receipts or the ride information detailed in PTO No. 5 (ECF 175 at 2–3) by February 15, 2024, for cases on file in this MDL as of February 1, 2024, and within 14 days of filing, transfer, or removal to this Court for all cases filed, transferred, or removed after February 1, 2024. Plaintiffs shall serve the bona fide ride receipt, if located, or all ride information required by PTO No. 5, if the receipt is not located, by uploading the bona fide ride receipt or ride information to MDL Centrality, identifying each with the name of the Plaintiff to whom the bona fide ride receipt or ride information applies, and by the associated case number.

3. Plaintiff Fact Sheets

The Court has approved a PFS that includes a variety of written authorizations for the release of records ("Authorizations") and document requests. *See* Exhibit 1.

Each Plaintiff must submit a completed PFS, executed Authorizations, and documents responsive to the requests in the PFS ("Responsive Documents"), through MDL Centrality pursuant to the terms of this Order.

The obligation to comply with this CMO and to provide a complete PFS shall fall solely on the individual counsel representing a Plaintiff. As with all case-specific discovery, Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and the members of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee are not obligated to conduct case-specific discovery for Plaintiffs by whom they have not been individually retained. In addition, Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and the members of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee have no obligation to notify counsel for Plaintiffs whom they do not represent of Defendants' notice of overdue or deficient discovery nor to respond to any motion practice pertaining thereto.

1 **4. Defendant Fact Sheets**

2 The Court has approved a DFS that includes document requests. *See* Exhibit 2.

3 Uber must submit a completed DFS and documents responsive to the requests in the DFS
4 (“Responsive Documents”) pursuant to the terms of this Order. However, if the PFS and ride receipt
5 or ride information submitted by a Plaintiff is insufficient to allow Uber to identify a specific trip
6 associated with the Plaintiff’s alleged incident, then Uber need not complete any other section of
7 the DFS other than Sections I and II and the first question of Section III.

8 **5. Discovery Mechanism**

9 The effect of a Party’s response to the questions contained in the PFS and DFS shall be
10 considered the same as interrogatory responses, and where documents are requested, as
11 responses to requests for production under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and these
12 responses will be governed by the standards applicable to written discovery under the Federal Rules
13 of Civil Procedure.

14 A PFS or DFS is served without prejudice to the Parties’ right to propound additional
15 discovery. The Parties do not waive their rights to assert objections permitted under the Federal
16 Rules of Civil Procedure to any additional discovery.

17 **6. PFS and DFS Deadlines**

18 The following PFS and DFS deadlines shall apply:

19 **A. Cases filed on or before the date of February 1, 2024:** For cases removed to or
20 directly filed in this judicial district and entered on the MDL 3084 docket on or before February 1,
21 2024, and for cases the JPML transfers to MDL 3084 on or before February 1, 2024, each Plaintiff
22 must complete and submit a PFS, execute applicable Authorizations, and produce Responsive
23 Documents, within 60 days after February 15, 2024. Uber must complete and submit a DFS, and
24 produce Responsive Documents, within 60 days after a complete PFS has been served. A case shall
25 be deemed transferred to MDL 3084 either: (a) on the date the Clerk enters a certified copy of the
26 JPML’s Conditional Transfer Order on the docket of this Court, or (b) where transfer is contested,
27 the date of transfer in any subsequent order from the JPML.
28

B. Cases filed after the date of February 1: For cases removed to or directly filed in this judicial district and entered on the MDL 3084 docket, and for cases the JPML transfers to MDL 3084 after February 1, 2024, each Plaintiff must complete and submit a PFS, execute applicable Authorizations, and produce Responsive Documents, within 60 days of the case being filed in, removed to, or transferred to the MDLS 3084. Uber must complete and submit a DFS, and produce Responsive Documents, within 60 days after a complete PFS has been served.

7. Procedures for Serving Completed Plaintiff and Defendant Fact Sheets and Associated Documents

Within the deadlines prescribed for the PFS in the prior section, Plaintiffs shall serve the completed PFS, Authorizations, and Responsive Documents upon Uber’s counsel. Service shall be accomplished by uploading the verified PFS to MDL Centrality in the relevant Plaintiff’s case file and by producing all Authorizations and Responsive Documents in the same manner and format as detailed in the ESI protocol, with a cover letter identifying the Plaintiff and case number to which the production applies.

Within the deadlines prescribed for the DFS in the prior section, Uber shall serve the completed DFS and Responsive Documents upon Plaintiff’s counsel. Service shall be accomplished by uploading the verified DFS to MDL Centrality in the relevant Plaintiff’s case file and by producing all Responsive Documents in the same manner and format as detailed in the ESI protocol, with a cover letter identifying the Plaintiff and case number to which the production applies.

8. Substantial Completeness of PFS and DFS

(a) PFS and DFS submission must be substantially complete, which means a Party must:

1. Answer all applicable questions (Parties may answer questions in good faith by indicating “not applicable,” “I don’t know,” or “unknown”);
2. Include a signed Verification (for a PFS) or Certification (for a DFS);
3. Provide duly executed record release Authorizations (for a PFS); and

4. Produce the requested documents to the extent such documents are in the Party's possession, custody, or control.

(b) If a Party considers a PFS or DFS to be materially deficient, a deficiency notice outlining the purported deficiency(ies) shall be served on the deficient Party's attorney of record via MDL Centrality. The deficient party will have thirty (30) days to correct the alleged deficiency(ies).

9. Objections Reserved to PFS and DFS

All objections to the admissibility of information contained in the PFS and DFS are reserved; therefore, no objections shall be lodged in the responses to the questions and requests contained therein. This paragraph, however, does not prohibit a Party from withholding or redacting information based upon a recognized privilege. Documents withheld on the basis of privilege shall be logged in accordance with the requirements of Case Management Order _____ Rule 502(d) and Privileged Materials Order, Docket No. _____.

10. Confidentiality of Data

To the extent that the PFS or DFS requires the responding party to provide confidential documents or information, the disclosure shall be governed by the Protective Order [ECF 176] and Stipulation and Order Re: Plaintiffs Who Wish to Proceed Anonymously [ECF 174].

11. Scope of Depositions and Admissibility of Evidence

Nothing in the PFS or DFS shall be deemed to limit the scope of inquiry at depositions and admissibility of evidence at trial. The scope of inquiry at depositions shall remain governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Federal Rules of Evidence shall govern the admissibility of information contained in responses to the PFS and DFS and no objections are waived by virtue of providing information in any PFS or DFS.

12. Rules Applicable to Plaintiffs' Authorizations

As set forth above, Authorizations together with copies of such records, to the extent that those records or copies thereof are in a Plaintiff's possession, custody, or control, shall be provided with the PFS at the time that the Plaintiff is required to submit a PFS pursuant to this Order.

In addition to the addressed Authorizations, Plaintiff's counsel shall also maintain in their

1 file unaddressed, executed Authorizations. Plaintiff's counsel shall provide executed
 2 Authorizations to Uber's counsel (or communicate an objection to said request for authorizations)
 3 within 14 days of a request for such Authorizations.

4 Should Plaintiffs provide Authorizations that are undated, this shall not constitute a
 5 deficiency or be deemed to be a substantially non-complete PFS. Uber (or the applicable records
 6 vendor) has permission to date (and where applicable, re-date) undated Authorizations before
 7 sending them to records custodians.

8 If an agency, company, firm, institution, provider, or records custodian to whom any
 9 Authorization is presented refuses to provide records in response to that Authorization, Uber (or
 10 the applicable records vendor) shall notify a Plaintiff's individual representative counsel and a
 11 designated individual from MDL Liaison Counsel. Upon notification, counsel shall work together
 12 in good faith to resolve the records issue.

13 In the event a records custodian requires a proprietary authorization or other particular form,
 14 Uber (or the applicable records vendor) will provide it to Plaintiff's individual representative
 15 counsel who shall thereafter execute and return the proprietary authorization or other particular
 16 form within 14 days.

17 Uber or its designees (including the applicable records vendor) shall have the right to
 18 contact agencies, companies, firms, institutions, or providers to follow up on record copying or
 19 production.

20 Counsel for each Plaintiff will have the right to obtain copies of all documents Uber receives
 21 pursuant to Authorizations provided by that Plaintiff. The Parties will meet and confer regarding
 22 the process and terms of Uber (and/or the applicable records vendor) providing copies of documents
 23 obtained.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
 26 Dated: _____, 2024

27 HONORABLE JUDGE CHARLES R. BREYER
 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE